



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,468	01/03/2002	Christoph Hehrlein	2869	8101	
26822	7590 01/12/2005		EXAMINER		
WALTER A. HACKLER			THOMPSON, MICHAEL M		
2372 S.E. BRISTOL, SUITE B NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660-0755			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3763	3763	

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/038,468	HEHRLEIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael M. Thompson	3763				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Oc	<u>ctober 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		· ·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16 and 19-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2.4-6,9-13,15,16 and 25-27</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,7-8,14,19-24,28</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	·	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Driarity under 25 H.C.C. \$ 440	· ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	·	d in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	` ''	d.				
	·	•				
Attachment(s)		·				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/8/02,9/23/03</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/038,468 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Figure 2 claims 1-16 and 19-28 in the reply filed on 10/01/2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- Claims 2, 4-6, 9-13, 15-16 and 25-27 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 10/01/2004. Claims 2 4, and 6 appear to relate to figure 1, the "substrate" being incorporated as a film or membrane. Claims 5 and 9-11 appear to be in Figure 3. Claims 12 and 13 are not in Figure 2. Claim 15 appears to be a different embodiment and claim 16 appears to be non-elected and cancelled limitations similar to claims 17 and 18. Claims 25-27 appear to be related to Figures 3 or 4.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892 or PTO-1449, they have not been considered.

Application/Control Number: 10/038,468 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 19, and 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by White (4,366,169). White teaches a substrate and oxygen carrier wherein the carrier comprises fluorocarbon compositions, which are lipophilic of various polymeric compositions.
- 6. Claims 1, 3, 22, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Whitbourne et al. (6,110,483). Whitbourne et al. teaches a substrate and oxygen carrier wherein the carrier comprises fluorocarbon compositions, which are lipophilic of various polymeric compositions. Please note the limitations only recite a fluorocarbon as an oxygen carrier.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Application/Control Number: 10/038,468

Art Unit: 3763

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 4

9. Claims 1, 3, 7-8, 14, 19-24, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over White ('169) in view of Rowe (6,146,358). White teaches all of the limitations of the claims except for explicitly reciting a balloon substrate or polymer including pores having sizes in the range of 20-200 microns. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention, to have utilized the composition as taught by White with the composition delivery device of Rowe for the well known purpose of delivering a medical composition to a body surface as taught by White. Furthermore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include pores having sizes in the range of about 20 to 200 microns because the Applicant has not disclosed that pores of 20 to 200 microns provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with pores of 15 or 220 microns because the both pore measurements perform the same function of releasing a composition to a treatment site.

Art Unit: 3763

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Michael Thompson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4968. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 am to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Nick Lucchesi, can be reached on (571) 272-4977. The official fax phone number for all submissions to the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Michael M. Thompson

Patent Examiner

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

МТ

January 3, 2005